Concrete Slab-on-Ground Crack and Joint Spalling Prevention of a General Spec Building



Aug 8, 2017

Contents

Evaluation Goals	2
Finite Element Analysis (FEA) Design Assumptions	2
Concrete Slab Capacity	3
Cracking Resistance in the Concrete Slabs	3
Joint Performance	4
Discussion and Recommendations	5
Additional Analyses	5
Appendix A – Assumed Rack and Lift Truck Load Configuration	6
Appendix B – FEA Results– Undoweled Joints	7
Appendix C – FEA Results – Doweled Joints	8
Appendix D – Typical 7" Spec Building Diamond Dowel Design	9
Appendix E – Typical 7" Spec Building PD3 Dowel Basket Design1	0
Appendix F – Assumed Lift Truck – Yale MR with 5,500lb Load Capacity1	1
Appendix G – Summary of the Value of Two Directional Doweling1	2

Evaluation Goals

Using information provided via e-mail, the following are evaluated in this report:

- Concrete slab-on-ground cracking resistance via ISLAB 2000 finite element analysis (FEA).
- Joint deflection and resistance to cracking or spalling under lift truck loads.

These serviceability-focused goals are evaluated herein with and without dowels.

While attempts have been made to ensure conservatism in inputs and load configurations, all analyses should be considered as generalized evaluations and not specific to the final design of this facility.

Finite Element Analysis (FEA) Design Assumptions

Concrete Surface Course Layer:

- Thickness: 7 in.
- Slab-on-ground sawcut panel size: 13.5 ft x 15 ft
- Elastic Modulus: 4,000,000 psi
- Poisson Ratio: 0.15
- Coefficient of Thermal Expansion: 4.40 x 10⁻⁶ /°F
- Unit Weight: 0.0870 lb/in.³
- Equivalent Temperature Gradient (e.g., curling and warping): -15°F

Subgrade:

- Type: Winkler
- Modulus of Subgrade Support (k-value): 100 psi/in.

Joint Load Transfer Efficiency (LTE):

- Undoweled: 15%
- Doweled: 90%

Loading:

Racks: Being a speculative building, the exact service loads are unknown. Thus, the building height of 35 ft was utilized to assume that rack legs will carry the load of 6 shelves of height 5 ft, with the 7th pallet in the column resting on a skid on the floor. Pallets were assumed to weigh 4,500 lb max each but, to not introduce too much conservatism into this analysis and because it is being used solely for comparative illustration, a working load adjustment of 75% is used – this effectively means that on average 25% of the shelves are empty in operations or, alternatively, that the average pallet weight is 75% of this 4,500 lb pallet max. With two-bay wide shelves, this results in end aisle column leg loads of 8,775 lb and all other rack leg loads in a continuous rack being 17,550 lb. There is much uncertainty as to both the <u>orientation</u> and <u>location</u> of the rack configurations with respect to the joints; rack configurations are assumed as illustrated in Appendix A based on the experience of PNA staff to consider both bottom-up slab stresses under back-to-back rack legs and to consider top-down slab stresses under the continuous

back-to-back racks as well as any possible interaction with the lift truck. Rack foot size and leg spacing was assumed at a value in-line with typical industry averages.

• Lift Truck: Because the design max pallet weight is 4,500 lb, a standard lift truck with this capacity in its range was assumed (see appendix F for the lift truck details).

Concrete Slab Capacity

To develop a factor of safety on the concrete slab-on-ground cracking resistance requires first an understanding of the capacity of a concrete slab-on-ground. The maximum strength of a concrete slab in the field is greater than the flexural strength of an unsupported lab-cured concrete beam tested at 28-days. The slab capacity can be approximated as:

$$\sigma_{max}$$
=(MOR_{avg}+t*MOR_{SD})x F_e x C₁x C₂

where:

- σ_{max} = allowable concrete tensile strength, psi
- MOR_{avg} = specified concrete flexural strength, psi | specified strength minimum compressive strength of 4,500 psi is 503 psi flexural per the ACI 318 conversion equation
- t = standard normal deviate (z-score) | at 80% reliability on MOR tests, z-score = 0.84
- MOR_{sD} = standard deviation (SD) on concrete flexural strength, psi | coefficient of variation (COV) of ready-mixed concrete is approximately 15%, thus SD = 15% * MOR_{avg} = 75 psi
- $F_e = 28$ -to-90 day strength correction factor | approximately (1.235*(1-COV)) = 1.05
- C₁ = beam-to-slab correction factor | 1.3 for bottom-up cracking and 1.0 for top-down cracking
- C_2 = fiber factor, assumed equal to 1 for concrete without macrosynthetic or steel fibers

The slab capacity for <u>bottom-up cracking</u> is:

 $\sigma_{max}^{bottom-up - plain}$ = (503 psi + 0.84 x 75 psi) x 1.05 x 1.3 x 1 = 773 psi

The capacity for top-down cracking is:

$$\sigma_{max}^{top-down}$$
 = (503 psi + 0.84 x 75 psi) x 1.05 x 1 x 1 = 595 psi

The Factor of Safety (FOS) in design against cracking is the ratio of slab capacity to maximum stress generated at the top or bottom concrete slab surface under the design loads.

Cracking Resistance in the Concrete Slabs

Modeling the inputs described in this report per the model shown in Appendix A with and without doweled joints yields the following critical response results as extracted from the FEA results presented in Appendix B for the undoweled condition and Appendix C for the doweled condition:

Joint Type	Maximum Top Stress, psi	Maximum Bottom Stress, psi
Undoweled	418	456
Doweled	263	413

In both the doweled and undoweled scenarios, the maximum bottom stress is similar because this is caused by the concentrated load of back-to-back rack leg loads totaling over 35 kips in a relatively small area; even still, the doweled case has about 10% lower bottom stress. The top stress is much higher for the undoweled scenario than the doweled scenario; Appendix G provides a quick snapshot of why two-directional doweling can achieve such large reductions in stress, in this case > 37% reduction.

To illustrate the factor of safety (FOS) against either bottom-up or top-down cracking, the ratio of the maximum stress from the FEA model to the slab capacity is calculated:

SLAB CAPACITIES ANALYSIS			
Top-Down Capacity, psi	608		
Bottom-Up Capacity, psi	790		
	Undowel	Doweled	
Top-Down Cracking FOS	1.5	2.3	
Bottom-Up Cracking FOS	1.7	1.9	
Design Stress Ratio	0.69	0.52	
Allowable Load Repetitions at 85% Reliability on Fatigue	2,000	2,000,000	

Joint Performance

Undoweled joints cannot provide long-term load transfer and joint performance assurance. As noted in ACI 360R-10, "Guide to Design of Slabs-on-Ground":

"Joint or crack stability measurements below 0.010 in. (0.25 mm) for joints or cracks subjected to lift truck wheel traffic with small hard wheels will have good service life (Tarr 2004; Walker and Holland 2007a). For lift truck traffic with large, cushioned rubber wheels, a joint or crack stability measurement of 0.020 in. (0.51 mm) should have good service life (Walker and Holland 1999, 2007a)."

The <u>Walker and Holland 2007a</u> reference of note in this quote is "Performance-Based Dowel Design," an article written by these industry experts at Structural Services, Inc. and which was written to facilitate design of PNA's PD3 dowels, which it has successfully done for the last decade. PNA has also since advanced the state of design with our doweling products, as shown in Appendices D and E.

Without more specific details on which to base a design, the 4,500 lb capacity lift truck again is used. Based on the Walker and Holland 2007a reference, 3/8 in. wide PD3 tapered plate dowels at 24 in. o/c are more than sufficient to carry a 4,000 lb lift truck in a 6 in. thick slab. We, therefore, are using this PD3 size and spacing to illustrate structural sufficiency for the 5,600 lb wheel loads at 45 in. spacing as found in the assumed lift truck. To simplify specifications and drawings, it can be of interest to maintain Diamond Dowel spacing on construction joints that is the same as the PD3 spacing in baskets in sawcut contraction joints. Appendix D and E provide design reports of ¼ in. thick Diamond Dowels and 3/8 in. x 2 in. PD3 plate dowels, respectively, each at 24 in. o/c spacing in a 7 in. slab and with other inputs assumed as shown. While a more optimized design is always possible with a complete understanding of the service loads and their configuration, these designs are suitable for a spec building.

Discussion and Recommendations

Doweled jointed plain concrete slabs have a higher factor of safety than undoweled concrete slabs for the assumed and typical rack and lift truck loads detailed in this report. Doweled construction joints should include ¼ in. Diamond Dowels at 24 in. o/c and doweled sawcut contraction joints should include 3/8 in. PD3 dowels at 24 in. o/c.

Additional Analyses

While this document aims to serve as a preliminary analysis based on anticipated and typical rack loading and other factors, PNA extends the offer of more project-specific FEA for the rack, tank, lift-truck, mezzanine, and other loaded areas of this facility if such analyses are of value to the owner.



Appendix A – Assumed Rack and Lift Truck Load Configuration

Appendix B – FEA Results– Undoweled Joints

Top Stresses on the Concrete Slab



Bottom Stresses on the Concrete Slab



Appendix C – FEA Results – Doweled Joints

Top Stresses on the Concrete Slab



Bottom Stresses on the Concrete Slab



Appendix D – Typical 7" Spec Building Diamond Dowel Design



⁻ Design is according to Tapered Plate Dowel Design for Concrete Slabs and Pavements | Theory Manual -© 2017 PNA Construction Technologies, Inc. | www.pna-inc.com

Appendix E – Typical 7" Spec Building PD3 Dowel Basket Design

Minimum Allowed Factor of Safety (FS)	1.2	Response Crit	teria	Limit	Design
		Joint Differen	tial Deflection,	in. 0.0100	0.00
oading		Dowel Flexura	al Stress, psi	36,000	10,92
Load #1 (closest to slab corner), lb	5,600	Dowel Shear	Stress, psi	20,785	2,38
Load #1 on a free edge?	No	Concrete Bea	ring Stress, psi	8,084	2,4
Load #2, lb	5,600	Concrete She	ar Cone Capacit	ty, lb 4,116	1,52
Spacing between Load #1 and #2, in.	45.0				
Wheel Type	Hard		То	tal Load on Each	Dowel
Dowel Geometry and Materials		2 000			
Width at Center in	2.00	2,000			
Thickness in	0.375	₽ 1,500			
Length, in	12.0	Če,			
Taper per Side. °	4.0	ੇ <u>1,000</u>	-		
Center-to-Center Spacing, in.	24.0	le le			
Elastic Modulus, psi	29.000.000	8 500			
Shear Modulus, psi	11.154.000			-	
Yield Strength, psi	36,000				-
			- 1 2 3	3 4 5 6 7 8	9 1
Concrete Material				Distance from Fo	ge of S
Elastic Modulus, psi	4,066,840			Distance if office	80013
compressive Strength, psi	4,500		Force	Transferred by Ea	ch Do
Poisson's Katio	0.15	2 000			
Concrete Slab/Pavement System		3,000			
Slab/Pavement Thickness in	7.0	<u>a</u> 2,500			
Concrete Cover Over/Under Dowel in	3.31	2,000			
Edge of Slab to First Dowel, in.	9.0	<mark>ደ</mark> 1,500			
Joint Crack Opening, in.	0.090	9 1,000			
Joint Load Transfer Efficiency (LTE), %	90%	Å 500			
Joint Construction Tolerance, +/- in.	2.0				
Modulus of Dowel Support, psi/in.	1,500,000	· · ·	Part	t of Load #1	P
Ground-Supported Slab			rait		
Modulus of Support, psi/in.	100	De De	owel 1 Dowel	2 Dowel 3 Dowel	4 Dov
Loint Deflection at	Critical Dowel	Responses sho with the joint	own below are ; face starting at 25,000	for the WIDE and NAR t a joint construction to	ROW sid olerance
0.0120			20.000		
• 0.0100			20,000		
		isa	15.000		
0.0060			10,000		
		tre	10,000		
		S TE			
0.0020			5,000		
0.0000		S	2		
Wide	Narrow				
Interface Deflection Slope Defl	ection Shear Deflect	tion	(5 000)		
Flexural Deflection Dowel Loo	seness — Deflection Lir	mit	(3,000)	Distance from the	e Joint F
0 1 2	3 /				
5.000	3 4		0	1 2	
5,000			0.0020		
		-			
<u>s</u> (5,000)		.9	0.0015		
g (10,000)		—Wide		N	
(15,000)		N	0.0010		
(20,000)		- Narrow			
(25.000)		Limit	0.0005		
		We			
- (30,000)		~)		

Response Criteria	Limit	Design	FS
oint Differential Deflection, in.	0.0100	0.0070	1.43
Dowel Flexural Stress, psi	36,000	10,926	3.29
Dowel Shear Stress, psi	20,785	2,380	8.73
Concrete Bearing Stress, psi	8,084	2,475	3.27
Concrete Shear Cone Capacity, lb	4,116	1,524	2.70



Distance from Edge of Slab, ft

ransferred by Each Dowel



or the WIDE and NARROW sides of the critical dowe a joint construction tolerance's away from the dowel center



⁻ Design is according to Tapered Plate Dowel Design for Concrete Slabs and Pavements | Theory Manual -© 2017 PNA Construction Technologies, Inc. | www.pna-inc.com



Appendix F – Assumed Lift Truck – Yale MR with 5,500lb Load Capacity

More details available at:

- <u>http://www.yale.com/uploadedFiles/Yale/Content/North-America/Product_Range/MR14-25-SpecSheet.pdf</u>
- <u>http://www.yale.com/uploadedFiles/Yale/Content/North-America/Product_Range/MR14-25-brochure.pdf</u>

Appendix G – Summary of the Value of Two Directional Doweling











